 4 वा मजला, घूहृनिमाण गवन.
 दिनोई : रे जुन, р८८з.

सुंबई महानगर प्रेदेश विकास प्राथिकरणाध्या दितोंक ७ जून, १९८3 रेजी जालेल्या अठ्ठाविसाव्या बेठकीच्या कार्यवृत्ताची प्रत सोबत पाठविली आहे.

सन्माननीय सदृध्यानी या बाबतीत कांही हरकती असत्यास ल्या कृपया कळَवाथ्या ही विबंतो.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { सधिव. } \\
& \text { बार्यक्यरी समिती. }
\end{aligned}
$$

मति :
सर्व सद⿸尸,
अंबई महाजघर म्वेशे विकास प्राशिकरणाने सर्व अधिकारी.

| दि | : | $७$ जून, ఇ९८3 (मंगळवार) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - ${ }_{\text {d, }}$ W | : | सकाषी ? $?$ वाज़तI |
| 풔뜨 | : | मानजीय उपमुध्यमंत्री याँच समिती бधा ( $\varepsilon$ वा मजला), मंगालय . |

उपसिथत सदस्य :
१) श्री. सामराव वा. अदिक. - अध्यक्ष उपमुष्यमंत्री अणि बगरविकस मंश्री.
2) श्री. मनमेहबनसिंग बेदी, - सदृ्य प्रुंबईच महापेरे.
3) डॉ. सेंटभ आर. मजमुंदार. - सदध्य अध्घद्ष, स्थायी समीती, सुंब़ई महानगरपालिका.
४) श्री. द. म. सुकथनकर,

सदचय
महान घरपालिका आयुकत,
मुंवई महान म्रपालिका.
4) श्री. ल. चं. गुप्ता.

सधिव, महाराष्ट्र शासन, आघर. विलास विभाग आणि व्यवस्थापकीय संचालक, सिडकेा.
ह) श्री. स. शं. तिनाईकर. - सदध्य गचिव, महाराष्ट्र शासन, तृद्हनिर्माण आणि विशेष सहाय्य विभाग.
७) श्री. अ. ना. बटव्याल. - सदर्य-सचिष महानगर आयुवत, मुंबई महानगर म्रेशे विकास पाधिकरण.

प्रस्तावित प्रश्चिम बेट मुवत मार्य प्रकत्प (प.वे.मु.) या क्र.७ च्या बाबीवर चर्चा चालू असताना श्री. रा. नि. अओे, सचिव. (2), महाराष्ट्र शसन, सार्वजनिक बाधकाम विभाग आणि शी. ना. वि. मेराणी, मुब्य अभियंतI
 विमंलित या बात्याने उपस्थित होते.

अध्यक्षांनी आपत्या प्रारकिक भाषणात (जेड़त्र पहा) प्राधिकरणाध्या


त्याबंतर प्राधिकरणानें कार्यसूटीवरील कामकाजावर चर्चा सुख कली.

$$
-: \quad 2:-
$$


 त्याघ बेठठकीचे कार्यदृत्त पवेके करणे.

देबळ्दी बेठिच्चि कर्यद्यवृत्त पवेके करण्यात आले.
बाब्ब क्र.2:- उपरिनिर्बिष्ट द्वेन बे बेंक्यांया कार्यवृतांवर करण्यातं आलेली कार्यवाही.
कर्यवाही अंहवालावी प्राथिकरणाने बोंद पेतली.

विनियम २९८७. तयार करज.

बाब fिपपणीवर विचारविमर्श करून प्राधिकरणाने पुढील ठराव संमत केला.

 प्रदेश विकास प्राथिकरण अधिनियम २९७४ (अजमितीस सुधारित असत्याप्रमाणे) च्या कलम ५० घाली असलेल्या प्रदत्त शबतीचा वममर कचुन बाब विप्पणीस जोडूून असलेल्या जेडऽपत्र-2 मधे तपशील दर्शविणारे मंबई महानगर प्रदेशा विФास प्राधिकरण (बर्मचा-याँची उपदाने प्रदान) विनियम ९९८3 मंजूर करीत आहे.'"

बाबा-क्र.


उपरेखत बाब टिपपणीवर विचारविमर्श कउन प्राशिकरणाने पुढील
ठराव संमत केला.
ORT 1.2 ?
अस् महानमर प्रेदश विकास माधिकरण अधिनियम ९९७ (आजामितीस सुथारित असल्यापमाणे) च्या कलम ५० ख्वाली असलल्या प्रदत्त शवर्तीचा वापर करन बाबटिप्पणीस जोइून असलेल्या जेडपत्रत तपशील दर्शविणारे मरतावित मुंबई़ महातमर पूषेका विकास व्राशिकरण (सहळГरी गूहनिर्माण संसथाना कर्जमंजुरी) विनियम, ९८८3 मंजुर बरीत आहे.

बाब क्र. 4 : मुंबर्ड महानगर प्रदेश विकास प्राधिकरणान यापूर्वी तयार केलल्या विविष्ष विंलिय म्मानI दुरस्वाI तथा सुथारणा.

या बाबीस अजुतांबून महानगर अयुकतानी असे प्रतिपादन केल की. बाबनिटपणीस जेड़ून असलेख्या जेड़पत्र 'ड' मथ्ये तपशील दित्याप्रमाणे मुंबई महानुगर प्रेदेश विकास आIधिकरण (सेवाविषयक अटी) विनियम ३९७७ मध्ये प्राशिक्रणाह्या अधिपत्तालातीलिं विविध पदंध्या वेत्रबश्रेष्या विहित करण्याचे प्राशिकार कार्यकारी समितीस वद्धान करावेत अभी दुरसती इतर गेए्टीसमवेत
 अथ्यादेश ९९८૩ ने प्रालिकरणाने आपले अधिकार प्रदान करण्याबाबतच्या तरतुदी
 प्रदेश विकास प्राशिकरण अधिनियमांच्या अशिकए केतालिएडटी स्ठ स्ठणन महानगर आयुकतांच्या स्रदबेढ़सार मंबंब महतानार मदेश विकास प्राशिकरण (सेवाविषयक अटी) (ढुसरती) विनियम २९८३ च्या मयुदयात पुढील बदल करण्यास प्राधिकरणने माब्यता दिली.
"दुखरी मसुदा (३) च्या ऐवृजी पुढील मजकूर राहील-
(3) विनियम क.५ वमळण्यात यावा आणि विनियम क. . $\varepsilon$ यास क्र.५ असा बवित्ष क्रांक़ देप्यात यावा. "

प्राधिकरणोंन सुथारित प्ररतावावर विचारविमर्श करून पुढील ठराव मंजुर केला :-

"असा ठराद संजूर करण्पत येत आहे की. बाबटिप्पणीत नमूद करण्यत अलेत्या कारणहतन है प्रालिकरण मुंबई महानगर प्रदेश विकास प्राधिकरण अधिनियम र९७४ (आजलितीस सुधारित असत्यापसमेण) मधी़ी कलम प० मध्ये
 कलम $2 ?$ चI वापर करुब (?) सुंबई महानगर म्रदेश विक़स भ्राधिकरण (सथायी समितीच्या लिवडपणु) विनियम २९७ฯ, आणि (2) मुंबई मझानगर प्रदेशश विकस म्राधिकरण (मंडळ सदेटयंच मत्ते) विनियम २९७८ याँचे निरसन करीत अहे.

ल्थापें असाही उसाव मंजूर करण्यात येत आहे की, उवत अधिनियमाध्या (सुधारित असल्यममझमेण) कलम फ० मधील शबतीचा आणि त्यासेबत मुंबई सममन्य घंड विनियम 9908 मधील कलम $2 ?$ चा वापर करन आणि त्या संदभात. . . .

त्या संदर्शात असलेख्या अब्य शतीतावा वापर कखन्न (?) मुंबई महानपए प्रेशे विकास



 विनियम, $2<04$ या विनी यमाध्या बाबतीत अबुकमे जेाइपश्र 'ब' ' $\Phi$ ' .'ड' व 'इ' मथे


 विवत्तमहार्य
बाबटिटपणीवर विचार विमर्श केल्याबततर प्राथिकरणाने पढील ठराव
मंग्रे केता.
ठ2ाव W.2? :

 मंजूर करीत आहे व सहाल्या पंचवार्ष्षक येखजलेत पहिल्या टप्पाची कर्यवाही करावी महणून
 कर्येनिहाय करणघात そयuा-या विवत्तीय तरतुदीवरच प्रझतीच संपादित निकाल अवलंबून असत्याने या कार्यक्रमार्या बाबतीत अपु-या विप्तीय तरतद़ीघेे कोणन्यांही ग्रुटी जाणवणार नाहीत यानी सर्वतेतपरि काळजी धेणात यावी अधीही ल्ञाजारस हे प्राशिकरण करीत आहे" -


अधक्षाट्या वितीतीबतार की. रा. का. अर्गे, सदिव, सार्वजनिक बांथकाम

 पेट्रेल किंघतीत वाढ ज्ञात्याने रहदारी बनयाच प्राजात घटेल ही अपेषापा फोल ठरली आहे.
 पेता परिचन बेट मुषतमार्ग अत्यावश्थक आहे ही गेषाट्ट कैंदीय मार्ग संझोधन संधेन्या

 केणीजही हI करार रद्दा न केल्योब तेI अद्धाप अहितवात आहे. पश्विम बेट मुष्तमागाध्या


माहीम उपसागराच्या...


 त्पापाटी ते वरकी दुख्बाळा पटृ ( मलबार टेकडीतील बोगदययासहित)

 ज्ञाला अस्रून त्यास्मठी निंथीची लास्षणिक तरवूद करण्यात आली आहे.

आपले मत प्रतिपादन करताबI गुंबई महतनार पालिषI आयुपत
 टख्यातात अघक्रम देण्याति यावा असे केल्यास माहीम कॉजवेवरील भार कमी होइईल अणण सथा (कनी वापर हेत असलेलि) ए.जी़. ब्वान मार्ग व मंबई महानवरपालिका किनारवट्टी बजीक बौरत अस असेल्या डी.पी. माग्गाचा वापर होळन थेट वरळी दुर्बशावेवामून बेारियली पर्यत जलद मार्वावी सेय होइईल.



 काहीही निण्णय ज्ञाला तरी (दादर धथानक़ु (पश्रिम रेंत्व) येeे कमी उंचीचा प्लवसार्ग धरनी) माहीम ब्वाडीवरत सेनापती बापट मागाची लोबी वाधिवेण

 देख्यात यावा व त्यासमी उपलब्न निंधीचा वापर करण्यात यावा.

गृहनिमीण विभागाये सधिव की, स. श्री. तिबाईकर क्हणाले.

 करणे त्रिंवा ल्याची टपपाटप्पांब कर्यवाही करो अनुधित ठरल. वाहतुक व


 हेगार बमून त्याचा उपयेगग फ्वत मूठमर वानिक वर्वाला हो हईल. अस्सी. लिबईकर



समर विकास सधिव व सिडकेषे व्यवस्थापकीय संबालक्ष औी. ल. च.
 कडूब निंधी उपतबब्व होण्याची चळ्यता बाही व (जागततिक बैक्यद्या सहाय्याति)
 पशिचमे बेट गुव्तभाप्सचा बस प्रवाशास मुकीच उपयेगा बाही. या मताशी शी. गुप्ता


 त्या संवटढेये मते आहे. आस्ताने या ल्ञाकारशीस म्राथाब देकन ती अमंलात आणावी.
 होईल अस्से श्री. गुन्ता म्हणाल. रहद्दारीतील पुढील वाढ थाबनिप्याख्या लोरणाना
 मुंबइतील रहदारी व घर्दी वाढेल ही भीती निराथार असत्याचे की. गुप्ता महपाले.

डॉ. चिर्श मजंंद्धर, अध्यक्ष, च्थायी समिती मुंबई महानार पालिका यांनी. पश्विम बेट मुवतमार्गाओले दलिण मुंबइती़ रहद्वरीची कोडी वाढणार नाही या मल्लास हपष्ट अब्दात आह्हान दिले. मुत्तमार्गाला प्रवेश दहिण मंबई केडे व

 कमी करण्याध्या बोररणाचा आणि येजबाचा ओेमाने पाव्परावा करणे क. उधित मार्ग ठरेल असे औी, मजंगुंदार म्हणाले, पशिचम बेट मुत्तमार्गाँ्या केणप्याही चख्याचे कास हाती घोग त्योता मां्य नाही कारण एकदा अंते कास हाती घेतले
 गाडयद्ध्या म्वेशास प्रेत्साहन बे देv्यावर किंवा त्यावर निंबर्ध धात्र्पावर गर देखण्यात यावा असे ते मुणालेल.
 मजगुंदार याह्याइशी सहममत अपून व्याँचयामते निधीची टंचाई' व फकत मूठुर थनिक वर्शालाब या मार्गाचा लाम मिळले. ही वस्大ुशिती विवारात पेता पशिaम बेट मुत मार्ग अल्यंत ब्वर्चाची बाब आहे.

महानेगर आयुत्त शी. अ. ना. बटब्याल यांदी आपण संपूर्पपे या प्रस्तावान्या विउद्ध असत्यावे प्रतिपादन केले, मरीब ड्राइक्छवरील विकार पथा श्रावाय अुबई शहरचदा विचारही करता येत बाही. अस्से ते गुणाले.

( अुषतम माशाय्या पश्विमेस ...
 पंण शी. बंटब्याल म्हुणाले की या बचीन विहाएपथापर्यत पेचचणे लेकाना
 पशिचम बेंट सुक्त मार्ग ओललंइून जावे लाघेल). व्योंबी पुछे असेंही प्रतिपादन






 हया टीक़ेच समर्थन हेते. श्री. बट्व्याल याँनी असेंही संशितले की. पश्रिम
 अंद्धजज बानी लायक बंहीत या माब्बावर पथक़ आकारण्यास आन्यास्त प्रत्यक्ष रहद्धारी *्बाबित रहद्धारीहृन बरीच कमी असेते. व्याबी? असे निवेदन केल की,

 विचार करावा लागेल. रहद्धरोची घाति वाढविता यावी महपून बहुताश़



 येईल असा मसत्ताव या आधीच करण्यांत आला आहै. सर्व गेाइ्टीवा विचार




 अधिक मदृवान्ता आहे.

र्री. र. य. तबिब यांबी असें सांशितले की, पश्चिम बेट मुष्तमार्ग
 मार्ब संबेधबन संध्रेते रहदारी विषयक केलेल्या अंद्धाजानुसार 2002 या वर्षाय्या
 असेंच रहीती व रस्त्यांवरील गर्दी अधीच रहीील. बस गाडयाचा वेग मंद राहील
-: < :-
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 Pe७Q-८० च्या अंदाजाशी तुलना करणे येग्य ठरल. येथे अस दिदून येईल की मेंटर गाडयांची कोंदणी व लेाकसंब्या याविषयी बढ̈ंकी अवूक अंदाज केलेला असला तरी रत्त्यावरील रहद्धारीचा माळ खत्याक्री अंद्धाज वर्तविण्यांत आला आहे. सथ्यांची रहदारी ही विल्बर सिमथती वर्तविलेल्या अंद्धाजान्या निम्या इतकीही बाही. ही. तबि याँनी असे म्रतीवादन केले की, परिचमाल्म देश्रांतील झहेरही नागरी द्वतमार्ण

 विचार. करीत असतेर. ही, तीवि यानी अंकी मीती व्यवत केली की, विधीच्या अभावी पशिचम बेट मुत्तमार्ग बार्लला जाणार बाही, वे हा मार्ग इद्धीचया समध्या
 येणार बाहीत. म्हपून अ्यहच्यामुके रहदारीत वाढे होइल व परिणामतः अधिक ररेते बीशणे आवश्थक ठरेल असे बवीव अतमार्ग बीचण्याइ्या ऍवजी वाहुक्कीतील संमाव्य वाढ कमी करण्यासाठी मेटटरगाडयावर निब्बथ धालणारी लोरोे अंमलात आणावी. शी. तबि चौबी किसन एकढ़ा सपष्ट केल की, माजी वाहतुक व दढँणवबण पडडटले पश्चिम बेट मुत्तमार्बाला निम्काकम दिला होता कारण की जबतेत्या मेंठ्या मेझाणावरीक्ष वाहतुकीचा मझ त्यामुले सुट्पार नाही. पशिचम बेट ुषतमामवि स सर्थत करणारी मागणी सूर्त ₹वरखपास आली तर $\varepsilon$ व्या किंवा $\vartheta$ व्या फक्नसमागयासेख़े प्रुुब रेत्वे प्रकल्प परे करोव लाघतील. कारण पशिचम बेट
 करणारे 90 कममार अपतील.
\$ी. ना. वि. मेराणी सह-सदिव आणि मुब्य अभियता, सार्वजानिक बाधक्तास विभाग, म्हुणाले की. पयदवरणाना विचार केत्यास या सत्व्यास होणा-या संभाव्य विरेशानी सार्वेनिक बाधकास विभागान जाणीव आहे. तथापि वरकी पॉइंट
 मार्ग संबेप़्नन संधेने वर्तविलेल अंद्धाज हे सेनापति बापट मार्गावा संपूर्ण वापर वियारतत पेऊनच केलेल आहेत - असे ते महुणाले, असे न करताही पश्विम केट
 पढ़े असे सीवितिल की, मंबईई पेर्टद्वट आपल्या मालकीच्या जमिनीवूब चेतपदरी



की. मेराणी

शी. मेंाणी यांबी साब्य केले की, पधिचम बेट मार्ग बौनून पुरा हेण्यास
 सेबापती बापट समाधाचा विकास करणे इ. कोम जलद हाती घ्याती लामतील. तथापि व्यांच्या सते अश्ना उपायद्या अलिप व/ किवा तालकालिक परिणाम होइईल



 घेण्यांत यावा. (आपण या आधी केलेल आपल विधान सपष्ट करताबा ही ही. बट्याल म्हणाले वाहतुब व दळणववण औंडकना असा समज होता की विदेशी

 निर्माण होणा-या अड़घणी द्वाबवून देध्यात आल्या).

चर्चेचा आढवा घेताबा अधंबानी असे रपष्टपणे प्रतिपादने केले की दलिज सुंबहतील वाढ थाँलविप्याये बोरण चानू राहीलच पाहिजे आणि चाबू राहीलही. त्यांबी पुढे ओसी सी़ितले की, अवक्सक असेल तेव छवा fिकणी

 दृषिक्षेण राहिल. उपतब्य विथी या ओाष्टीसाठी वापरले पाबिजे व जेन्छा



 जसजसे यश्ची हेतील तर्युशी तेथील घर्दी कमी होती जाइल.
 श्ञेवटी माब्य करण्यांत आले.

बंतर अध्यबाचे आगार माबून समा संपली.

```
मुबईई महानगर प्रदेश विकास प्राधिकरणाची
        अठठणविसावी बैठक्
    दिब!% : అ जून, २८<3 (म゙घळवार)
    : अध्यध्तीय_गIपण्\ :
```

मुंबई महानगर प्रदेश विकस प्राथिकरण⿸्या ख८ व्या बेठकीत आणि पुनः रंचित प्राधिक्रणाह्या पहित्या बेठकतन आज मी आपणा सवचि मन：पूर्वक
 बदल करण्याचा मझू गेली $\gamma$ वर्षे विचाराथीन होता．मानढीय राज्यपांलांनी
 अधिक प्रभावी आणि निर्णयक्नएक यंत्रणा होण्यासाठी प्राधिकरजाँ आकारमान कमी करब ते आता अटेटापशीर बनविण्यात आले आहे．या प्रक्रियेत बगर विक़स विभाग आणि घुह्हि़िसीण विभागाच संबंधित सचिव ते़्वच सि 5 केचे व्यवरथापकीय संचालक या तिंधौची प्रालिकरणाचे सदंस्य ग्हणून नियुवती ज्ञाली आहे．त्यंच मी


 पुढील प्रमणे आढेत ：
（？）ऊॉ．प्रभाकर पे， सुबवहच मानी महापेरि．
（2）औ्रीमती इंढ़मती पेटल． साजी अध्घक्षा， भुधार समिती，मुंबई महानमरपालिका．
（3）行．बादुभाई अमीन． माजी अध्यक्ष． बेाहट समिती．
（४）活．एस．सारथ， महाव्य वस्थापक． पश्विम रेखे आणि，
（4）शी．टी．रामचंद्रन． महाव्यवस्धापक． सथ्य द्राले．

2．प्राधिलरणाह्या पुनः र्चनेम पुले पुढील व्यवती आतI सदृय नाहीत．

```
-: 2 :-
```

ब्यांढ़ी केलल्या प्राधिकरणाध्या अमेल सेवेबढ़दल मी त्यचिही आரार मानतेा. या व्यवित पुछील प्रमाणे :-
(१) श्रीमती पार्वतीबाई मालवोगोडा, अध्यका. मुंबई जिल्हा नियेजन व विकास परिषद,
(2) सेI.प्रतिभा दे.पाटील,

अध्यक्षा, ठण्णे जिल्हा नियोजन व विकास परिषद,
(3) श्री. रावसाहेब जामकर.

अध्यक्ष, रायगड जिल्हा व नियेजजन विकास परिषद्,
(४) श्री.सुशीलक्वमार शिंदे,

वित्त मंत्री.
(4) श्री.प्रभाकर ना. पाटील,
(घ) श्री. मिठालाल जन.
(७) श्री.जगजीवन टन्ना,
(c) श्री, चिमणलाल मेहता.
(e) श्री.एकनाथ सा. केापर्डे,
(20) श्री. नि. जोt. झुेट,
(११) श्री. वि.ग. धारप,
(२२) श्री.अमरबाथ वा.पाटील.
(?3) श्री. छमन म्रजबळ.
(२४) श्री. (डॉ.)अ.उ.मेमन,
(१५) श्री.रामाबंद लाड,
(१ع) शी.प्रत्हाद हि.आडवानी,
(२७) श्री. विवेकानंद्ध पाटील.
(ic) श्री.युसुक हाफिज.
(३९) श्री.सी.डी.उमाचेन,
(20) श्री. झारीशीकर गिवेदी,
(2?) श्री.राम नाइक.
(22) श्री.वकार अहमद गुलाम महमद मामीन.
(23) श्री.तुकाराम सुवेवे
(2४) श्री. गाई बंदरकर.
(24) श्री. राम मबेाहर निपाठी.
(2ع) श्री.राम महाडिक.
(2७) श्री.एल.एम्.मेबेजिस.
(2.C) अध्यक्ष्ट, मुंबई पे पर्ट ट्राट,
(२९) महाव्यवस्थापक, मृध्य रले़्वे,
(3०) सहाव्यवस्थापक, पनिच रेलेवे
(3१) महृ़व्य वस्थापक, मुंबर्ड टलिकेढ़स,
(32) श्री. चIस्लैस एस. केரिया,
(33) श्री. बेटी गेापालळृष मूर्ती.


DATE : 7th June, 1983 (Tuesday)
TIME : $11.00 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{M}$.
PLACE : The Deputy Chief Minister's Committee Room (6th Floor), Mantralaya.

## MEMBERS PRESENT :

1) Shri Ramrao W. Adik,

- Chairman

Deputy Chief Minister and Minister for Urban Development.
2) Shri M.H. Bedi,

- Member Mayor of Bombay.

3) Dr. Saurabh R. Majmundar,

- Member

Chairman, Standing Committee, Bombay Municipal Corporation.
4) Shri D.M. Sukthankar, Municipal Commissioner, Bombay Municipal Corporation, Bombay.
5) Shri I.C. Gupta,

- Member

Secretary to the Government of Maharashtra, Urban Development Department and
Managing Director, CIDCO.
6) Shri S.S. Tinaikar,

- Member Secretary to the Government of Maharashtra, Housing and Special Assistance Department.
?) Shri A.N. Batabyal,
- Member-Secretary

Metropolitan Commissioner, B.M.R.D.A.

Shri R.T. Atre, Secretary (II) to the Government of Maharashtra, Public Works Department and Shri N.V. Merani, Chief Engineer and Joint Secretary to Government of Maharashtra, Public Works Department.were also present as Special Invitees, during the discussion on Item No.7, viz. Proposed West Island Preeway Project (W.I.F.).

In his preliminary speech (vide Annexure) the Chairman welcomed the new members of the Authority and placed on record services rendered by ex-members.

The Authority then proceeded with the business on the Agenda.

Item No.1 : Confirmation of the minutes of the 27th Meeting held on 28 th January, 1983 and the Adjourned 27th Meeting held on 4th March, 1983.

The minutes of both the meetings were confirmed.
Item No. 2 : Action taken on the minutes of the above mentioned two meetings.

The action taken report was noted by the meeting.
Item No. 3: The B.M.R.D.A. (Employees' Payment of Gratuity) Regulations, 1983 Framing of -

The Authority considered the item note and passed the following Resolution :-

## RESOLUTION NO. 216 :

"Resolved that, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 50 of the BMRDA Act, 1974 (as amended upto date), the Authority hereby approves the Bombay Metropolitan Region Development Authority (Employees: Payment of Gratuity) Regulations, 1983, as detailed in Annexure II to the Item Note, as proposed."

## Item No. 4 : Scheme for grant of loans to <br> Co-operative Housing Societies sponsored by the employees of the B,M.R.D.A.

The Authority considered the
em Note and passed the following Resolution:RESOLUTION NO. 217 :
"Resolved that, in exercise of he powers conferred under Section 50 of the BMRDA Act, 19 (as amended upto date), the Authority hereby approves ヲ Bombay Metropolitan Region Development Author y (grant of loans to Co-operative Housing Societies) Reg tions, 1983, as detailed in Annexure to the Item No : as proposed."

Item No. 5: Amendments to various regl tions framed by the B.M.R.D.A.

In connection with this Item, th Commissioner stated that in the proposer (vide Annexure ' D' to Item Note) to the

Metropolitan Region Development Authority (Conditions of Service) Regulations, 1977 , it was inter alias suggested to delegate the Authority's power of prescribing pay-scales of various posts under the Authority to its Executive Committee. Consequent upon the deletion of the provisions regarding delegation of its powers by the Authority [Vide BMRDA (Amendment) Ordinance 19837 the proposed amendment in that respect is ultra-vipos of the provisions of the BMRDA ACt. At the suggestion of the Metropolitan Commissioner, the Authority, therefore, agreed to make the following changes in the draft BMRDA (Conditions of Service) (Amendment) Regulations, 1983 :-
"Substitute the following for draft amendment (3) -
(3) Regulation No. 5 shall be deleted and Regulation No. 6 be renumbered as Regulation No. 5.1

The Authority considered the modified proposal and passed the following Resolution :-

RESOLUTION NO. 218 :
"Resolved that in exercise of its powers under Section 50 of the BMRDA Act, 1974 (as amended pto date) read with Section 21 of the Bombay General. Clauses Act, 1904, the Authority hereby repeals (1) The Bombay Metropolitan Region Development Authority (Elections to Standing Committee) Regulations, 1975; and (2) The Bombay Metropolitan Region Development Authority (Allowances to Board Members) Regulations, 1979 for the reasons recorded in the Item Note."
"Further resolved that in exercise of its powers under section 50 of the Act (as amended unto date) read with Section 21 of the Bombay General Clauses Act, 1904, and all other enabling powers, the Authority hereby amends (1) The BMRDA (Conduct of Business at Meetings) Regulations, 1975; (2) The BMRDA (Allowances to Members) Regulations, 1979; (3) The BMRDA (Conditions of Service) Regulations, 1977 (as further amended by the Metropolitan Commissioner's statement at the Meeting); and
(4) The BMRDA (Travelling Allowance) Regulations, 1975 as detailed in Annexures ' $B^{\prime},^{\prime} C^{\prime},{ }^{\prime} D^{\prime}$ and 'E' respectively"

Item No. 6 : Planning :.....

Item No. 6 : Planning and financing of projects to meet the domestic and industrial water demands of Bombay Metropolitan Region for the year 2001.

The Authority considered the Item Note and passed the following Resolution :-

RESOLUTION NO. 219 :
"Resolved that the BMRDA approves the phased programme as explained in para (9) of the Agenda Note and recommends the same to Government of Maharashtra for implementation of Phase $I$ in the 6 th Plan period. As results achieved will depend on matched financial provisions (both annual and plan works), BMRDA recommends that there should be no shortfall due to inadequate financial provisions."

Item No. 7 : Proposed West Island Freeway Project (WIF).
At the request of the Chairman, Shri R.T. Atre, Secretary, Public Works Department recounted the genesis and development of the West Island Freeway (WIF) project and gave the details thereof. He felt that the earlier expectation that the rise in petrol prices will considerably reduce the growth in traffic has been belied as evidenced by the report of the CRRI. He pointed out that CRRI studies and interim report show that the WIF would be a must considering the projections for the year 2001 AD. Referring to the protocol signed by the GOM with Dubai Transport Company (DUTCO) he stated that the protocol continues to be in existence as it has not been cancelled by either party. He mentioned that an alternative alignment considered by Public Works Department for the northern end of the WIF would be a bridge over the entrance to Mahim Bay i.e. from Worli Point to Lands End, Bandra. The cost of the full WIF with this alternative would be approximate Rs. 150 crores. He felt that due to the funds situation the Worli to Bandra Section and the Chowpatty to Worli Dairy Section (including Malbar Hill Tunnel) each costing approximate Rs. $36-37$ crores should be taken up early. Of these, the Chowpatty to Worli Dairy Section is a budgetted work with a token provision of funds.

Giving his views Shri D.M. Sukthankar, Municipal Commissioner, Bombay Municipal Corporation felt that the first priority should be given to the Worli Point to Bandra Land's End Section. He felt that this would relieve the pressure on the Mahim Causeway and also provide thereby a fast road right from Worli Dairy to Borivli, using existing (under utilised) A.G. Khan Road and the new D.P. road along the foreshore which Bombay Municipal Corporation is building. Shri Sukthankar mentioned that there were some possibilities of financial assistance from the EEC and even of a second phase of the ongoing BUTP. However, the funds position is likely to remain precarious. He felt that whatever may be the outcome of WIF construction relatively simpler and cheaper projects such as extension of Senapati Bapat Marg across Mahim Creek, (including a low-level flyover at Dadar Station (W.R.)), grade seperation of major intersections, completion of the East-West link roads in the suburbs and the like must be given the highest priority and available funds applied thereto.

Shri S.S. Tinaikar, Secretary, Housing Department, felt that discussing the details of WIF or its phasewise implementation would be premature before the points raised by the erstwhile Transport and Communications Board were satisfactorily resol w. Shri Tinaikar felt that these points were very relevant and particularly mentioned the problem of aggravating the congestion in South Bombay by allowing so many cars into Bombay and also that the WIF will be of no use to the great majority of the commuter population of Bombay but only to the small and affluent minority. Shri Tinaikar expressed the view that these points were fundamental and without their satisfactory resolution WIF should not be considered.

Sheri I.C. Gupta; Secretary, Urban Development and Managing Director, CIDCO clarified that the EEC funds are unlikely to be available for the WIF and felt that the possibility of any BUTP II project materialising (with World Bank aid) was also remote. Turning to the WIF project itself he did not agree with the view that the project will not give any
relief to the bus traveller. He felt that to the extent the WIF will draw away car traffic bus speeds will go up and thereby benefit the commuting public who use buses also. He felt that the CRRI, which was an expert body, had given its opinion that WIF was necessary and that Government should give this recommendation the maximum weightage an imple. ; the same. He felt that delays in the construction of the WIF will only increase its cost. He also felt that the fear that the WIF will add to traffic in South Bombay and increase the congestion was unfounded because the policies of restricting further growth will continue to be pursued by Government.

Dr. Saurabh Majmundar, Chairman, Standing Committee, Bombay Municipal Corporation, categorically challenged the view that WIF will not increase traffic congestion. He felt sure that since access to the freeway would be to/from South Bombay this is bound to lead to a great deal of additional traffic. He felt that the improvement of existing roads through gradeseperated intersections and vigorous pursuit of decongestion policies and schemes would be the right course to follow. He did not agree to taking up construction of any phase of the WIF because once this is done there will be a compulsion to build the whole WIF. He felt that the emphasis should be on discouraging or even restricting the entry of cars into South Bombay.

Shri M.H. Bedi, Mayor of Bombay agreed with his colleague, Dr. Majmundar and felt that the WIF was too costly a project given the constraint on resources and the fact that the benefit of the project will go to the affluent but tiny minority.

Shri A.N. Batabyal, Metropolitan Commissioner, expressed himself to be entirely against the proposal. He felt that Bombay without the promenade along Marine Drive is unthinkable which is what would happen if WIF is built. (Shri Atre said that a new promenade would be built west of the freeway but Shri Batabyal felt that it would be impractical for people to reach this new promenade as they would have to cross the present Marine Drive plus the WIF). He further pointed out that serious objections would arise because of the

WIF. passing close to and seaward of such important relegions and recreation spots as the Haji Ali Mosque, Dr. Ambedkars Mousoleum, Shivaji Park Beach etc. (Shri Atre pointed out that with the new alignment direct from Worli Point to Bandra Lands and the last two spots would not be affected) Shri Batabyal mentioned that there are those who felt that Wilbur Smith (WS) have been responsible for despoiling many cities and what they have proposed for Bombay seems to justify that criticism. He also mentioned that the traffic projections of CRRI for the WIF are not certainties and if toll is charged thereon the traffic may be much less than what has been projected. He mentioned that if the CRRI projections were to be accepted the impact on South Bombay is bound to be serious and we shall have to consider some alternative approach to the problem. One such alternative is to undertake a large programme of constructing "instant flyovers" to grade-seperate most intersections so as to speed up traffic. The Consul-General for Belgium had already been in touch BMRDA office and since they (the Belgians) are leading in this techmology perhaps E.E.C.'s offer of financial assistance could be availed of. This has been accordingly proposed. He reiterated that when all things are considered the WIF is not the project which will solve any problems. He also mentioned that both WS and CRRI had justified other such roads too and if anything the EIF was more important than WIF looking to the relative congestion. Shri R.Y. Tambe pointed out that it would be incorrect to expect that construction of WIF would increase bus speeds. In fact as per the CRRI traffic projections, by 2001 the present level of traffic on existing roads would remain even after WIF is built. Thus the roads will remain congested, and bus speeds remain slow, while more cars would come to South Bombay and congest it further. He mentioned that the W.S. projections for 1981 are worth comparing with CRRI counts of 1979-80. These show that while car registration and population have been predicted fairly correctly, traffic on roads has been grossly over estimated. The present traffic is not even half of what Wilbur Smith had predicted. Shri Tambe pointed out that even the
western world cities had given up the urban expressway as a solution to problems of congestion, while we in India, despite the fact that car users are a small minority of the commuting public were still considering such projects. He expressed the fear that the result may be that neither will WIF be built due to the lack of funds nor urgently needed car restraint policies be developed in he hop wat WIF will solve the problems. He, therefore, urged that restraint policies.be brought into effect to reduce future traffic Gmand rather than build new freeways which will only attract more traffic and in time make construction of yet more roads necessary. He once again clarified that the erstwhile Transport and Communications Board had assigned a Iow priority to the WIF because st will not solve the main problem which was of public mass transport users. He pointed out that if the demand which would justify the WIF was allowed to materialise major railway projects such as 6 th and even 7 th corridors would have to be built because for every manager who would use WIF there may be 10 or more workers who could afford to travel only by bus or train.

Shri N.V. Merani, Joint Secretary and Chief Engineer, Public Works Department mentioned that so far as the environment was concerned Public Works Department was also conscious of the likely opposition. However, this would be minimised by the new alignment from, Worli Point to Bandra Land's end. He reiterated that the CRRI's projections had been made after giving due consideration to the full utilisation of Senapati Bapat Marg. It was despite, that, that the traffic on the WIF was found to be realisable. Shri Merani mentioned that the BPT was going to construct a 4 lane road through their land and this would reduce congestion on the eastern corridor and this may decrease the relative priority for the EIF. He agreed that, since the WIF may take 8 to 10 years to construct grade seperation of intersections, development of Senapati Bapat Marg etc. must be taken up early. He, however, felt that these will have only a small and/or temporary effect and WIF would have to be taken up. Shri Merani questioned the logic of agreeing to the consiruction of
the WIF merely because the money is available from offshore sources and felt that from planning point of view the need for WIF should be decided irrespective of the source of funds. (Shri Batabyal clarified his earlier remarks and mentioned that the Transport and Communications Board had felt that if the WIF was the only project ${ }^{-1}$ ' $n$ h the offshore financiers would fund, the equity consideration would not hold good, but the difficulties which the WIF may cause had been pointed out, nonetheless).

The Chairman, summing up the discussion, categorically stated that the policy of restricting growth in South Bombay must and will be continued. He also felt that the most practical view would be to give the highest priority to construction of flyovers in as many places as required and to extension of Senapati Bapat Marg, construction of E-W link roads in the suburbs, etc. He felt that available funds must be used for the foregoing and as and when more funds become available the construction of WIF can be taken up in phases, the first being the Worli Point to Lands End, Bandra. He felt that better roads may not always attract more traffic in Indian conditions and as our restriction on South Bombay's growth succeed, congestion will reduce.

At the end of the discussion, it was agreed that State Government be suitably informed.

The Meeting then concluded after a vote of thanks to the Chair.
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## ANNEXURE

## TWENTY-EIGHTH MEETING OF THE BMRDA

DATE : 7th June, 1983 (Tuesday)

## SPEECH OF THE CHAIRMAN

I have great pleasure in welcoming you to this 28th Meeting of the Authority and the first meeting after its reconstitution. During the last about 4 years, question of various organizational changes in the set up of the BMRDA was under consideration. The Ordinance issues by the Governor on 20 th May, 1983 have inter alia reduced the size of the Authority with a view to making it a compact and effective decision making body. In this process the secretary, Urban Development; the Secretary, Housing Department and the Managing Director, C.I.D.C.O., have been appointed Members of the Authority. I take this opportunity to welcome them and place on record the services rendered by the following. ex-officio members of the Authority who ceased to be the Members prior to its reconstitution :-
(1) Dr. P.S. Pai, Ex-Mayor of Bombay.
(2) Smt. Indumati T. Patel, Ex-Chairman, Improvements Committee, Bombay Municipal Corporation.
(3) Shri B.H. Amin, Ex-Chairman, B.E.S.T. Committee.
(4) Shri S. Sarath, General Manager, Western Railway, and
(5) Shri T. Ramchandran, General Manager, Central Railway.
2. I also place on record the services rendered by the following persons who have ceased to be the members of the Authority
as a result of its reconstitution :-
(1) Smt. Parvatibai Malgonda, Chairman, DPDC, Bombay.
(2) Smt. Pratibha D. Patil, Chairman, DPDC, Thane。
(3) Shri Raosaheb Jamkar, Chairman, DPDC, Raigad.
(4) Shri Sushilkumar Shinde, Minister for Finance.
(5) Shri Prai wkar N. Patil.
(6) Shri Mithalal R. Jain.
(7) Shri Jagjivan M. Tanna.
(8) Shri Chimanlal Mehta.
(9) Shri E.R. Koparde.
(10) Shri B.D. Zute.
(11) Shri V.G. Dharap.
(12) Shri Amarnath W. Patil.
(13) Shri C.C. Bhujbal.
(14) Shri (Dr.) A.U. Memon.
(15) Shri R.P. Laud.
(16) Shri P.H. Advani.
(17) whri Vivekanand S. Patil.
(18) Shri Usuf Haffiz.
(19) Shri C.D. Oommachen.
(20) Shri G.S. Trivedi.
(21) Shri Ram Naik.
(22) Shri V.A.G.M. Momin.
(23) Shri T.E. Surve.
(24) Shri Bkai Bandarkar.
(25) Shri Ram Manohar Tripathi.
(26) Shri Ram Mahadik.
(27) Shri L.M. Menezes.
(28) The Chairman, Bombay Port Trust.
(29) The General Manager, Central Railway.
(30) The General Manager, Western Railway.
(31) The General Manager, Bombay Telephones.
(32) Shri C.M. Correa.
(33) Shri N.G.K. Murti.
3. We may now processed with the Business on the Agenda.


