

**MINUTES OF THE 191<sup>st</sup> MEETING OF THE  
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, MMRDA.**

**DATE** : 13<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY, 2001 (TUESDAY)  
**TIME** : 4.30 P.M.  
**PLACE** : CHIEF SECRETARY'S  
COMMITTEE ROOM,  
5<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR, MANTRALAYA.

**MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Shri V.Ranganathan Chief Secretary to Government	:	Chairman
Shri D.M.Sukthankar ✓	:	Member
Shri R.Y. Tambe ✓	:	Member
Shri K.C.Srivastava Municipal Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Brihanmumbai	:	Member
Shri A.P.Sinha Principal Secretary (I) to Government, Urban Development Department	:	Member
Shri Ajit Warty Metropolitan Commissioner	:	Member

**INVITEES:**

Shri Ramanand Tiwari  
Principal Secretary (II) to the Government  
Urban Development Department

Shri Vishwas Dhumal  
Secretary (Industries) to the Government  
Industries Department

Shri U.P.S.Madan  
Project Director, M.U.T.P.

Shri K.N. Patel  
Legal Adviser, MMRDA

Shri V.K. Phatak  
Principal Chief, Town & Country Planning Division, MMRDA

Shri S.P. Pendharkar  
Chief, Planning Division, MMRDA

Shri S. B. Pardeshi  
Chief Accounts Officer & Financial Adviser, MMRDA  
Secretary, Executive Committee, MMRDA (Addl. Charge)

Shri A.V. Ghangurde  
Chief, Transport & Communications Division, MMRDA

Shri J.T.Nashikkar  
Chief Engineer, Engineering Division, MMRDA

**Item No.1: Confirmation of the Minutes of the last (190<sup>TH</sup>)  
Meeting of the Executive Committee held  
on 21<sup>st</sup> November, 2001.**

The minutes were confirmed.

**Item No.2: Action taken on the Minutes of the last (190<sup>TH</sup>)  
Meeting of the Executive Committee held  
on 21<sup>st</sup> November, 2001.**

The action taken report was noted by the Committee.

**Item No. 3 : Placement of balance term deposit of Rs.150 crores  
with Maharashtra State Co-operative Cotton Growers'  
Marketing Federation Ltd.**

The Executive Committee noted that the Maharashtra State Coop. Cotton Growers Marketing Federation Ltd. had not paid last three installments of Rs 50 crs

each (for November, December, January) and the outstanding interest of approximately Rs. 20 crs, but had instead requested to release the balance term deposit of Rs.150 crs. This requirement had arisen on account of their decision to pay additional price in two installments to the Cotton Growers, first from January 2001 onwards and the next from May 2001 instead of paying the entire additional price from April 2001, after obtaining the necessary budget provision from the Government.

The Managing Director, (MSCCGMF Ltd) stated that, funds were required for arranging the payment for procurement of raw cotton, processing cost, interest on bonds etc. He further stated that, the procurement of cotton was coming to an end, and the payment of advance additional price was to be made in two installments of Rs 250/- each (approx.), i.e. Rs 500/- in addition to the average guarantee price of Rs. 1600/- per quintal. He also said that the repayment of MMRDA's deposit would be made after the liquidation of old stock from April onwards.

The Chairman, Executive Committee and the Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Maharashtra, further inquired as to how the Cotton Federation proposes to refund MMRDA's deposit, without any budget provision if the purchase price which is inclusive of the guarantee price is comparatively more than the selling price. Instead, the Federation should request the Government to make budget provision for arranging the payment to the Cotton Growers. Shri Sukthankar maintained that since the Cotton Federation had failed to comply with conditions of deposit, no further release of fund be considered.

The detailed discussion was held on the issue and it was decided to defer the item for the time being.

**Item No. 4 : Waiving of 2% additional interest on Term Deposit with MSSIDC.**

It was pointed out that 2% additional interest was levied with the approval of the Executive Committee with the intention to recover the outstanding deposit amount from those Public Sector Undertakings, who did not pay the deposit amount on due date.

It was further pointed out that, the investments made with the PSUs were at the then prevailing interest rates, which were comparatively higher than the present interest rates. The PSUs had earlier agreed to pay the interest at the mutually decided rate and also to repay deposit on maturity on their own volition. The Chief Secretary was of the opinion that, after delaying the refund these PSUs can not come with an excuse that they had paid higher rate of interest. If they felt so, they should have refunded the deposits in time. Since the PSUs have not refunded the deposits in time, they are bound to pay 2% additional interest. This is the normal procedure being followed in the financial institutions and banks. If the request to waive the additional interest of any PSU is considered, the benefit by way of natural justice will have to be passed on to the other PSUs irrespective of period of delay in refunding the MMRDA deposit.

It was also submitted that CIDCO was not paying stipulated interest on term deposits from May, 1998. The Chairman, Executive Committee asked Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department to persuade CIDCO to pay outstanding interest at an early date.

Considering the above, the Executive Committee decided in the interest of maintaining the financial discipline not to consider the request of MSSIDC to waive the additional interest and decided to recover the same.

**Item No. 5 : A Study Proposal for Mumbai Positioning.**

The Chief, Planning Division, explained the proposal of carrying out the study of Mumbai Positioning through the Maharashtra Economic Development Council (MEDC). Responding to the proposal, Principal Secretary (I), Urban Development Department observed that similar work had been carried out by Confederation of Indian Industry (CII) and Bombay First and that their work should be reviewed before taking up a new study on the subject. He offered to write to CII to get information on the work done by them. He further observed that he doubted if the study conducted by the MEDC would carry enough credibility with the business community and opinion leaders. The Metropolitan Commissioner clarified that the study was basically intended to help the State Government to decide how to position Mumbai and adopt an agenda of action in a particular direction. During the course of discussion, name of Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy (CMIE) was mentioned as a possible alternative to the MEDC. It was finally decided that the CMIE should be asked if they could undertake the study and that after receiving its response and after collecting information on the work done by the CII, the proposal should be brought before the Executive Committee for decision.

**Item No. 6 : Approval to the tender for the work of construction of balance roads in the G - Block of Bandra-Kurla Complex. (Part-1)**

The Committee approved the proposal contained in the Item Note and passed the following Resolution :-

**RESOLUTION NO. 819 :**

“RESOLVED THAT in exercise of the powers conferred under clause (iii), sub-section (3) of Section 7 of the MMRDA Act, 1974 and all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Executive Committee hereby accords its approval to accept the

lowest tender of M/s. Amar Construction Corporation @ 32.08% below the cost put to tender amounting to Rs. 2,66,96,063.00 (Rupees Two crores sixty six lakhs ninety six thousand sixty three only) subject to variations due to price variation clause and other contract provisions for the above work with a time limit of 24 calendar months including monsoon, as proposed.

**“RESOLVED FURTHER THAT** the Metropolitan Commissioner is authorised to issue Letter of Intent, complete other tender formalities without waiting for confirmation of the minutes, and implement the contract as per contract conditions.”

**Item No. 7 : Approval to the tender for the work of construction of balance roads in G- Block of Bandra-Kurla Complex. (Part-II)**

It was mentioned to the Chairman, Executive Committee, that M/s Amar Construction Corporation have the capacity and machinery to complete both works as per contract. Further it was mentioned that, the cognizance of CRZ will be taken before taking up the construction work whenever required. The Committee then approved the proposal contained in the Item Note and passed the following Resolution :-

**RESOLUTION NO. 820 :**

**“RESOLVED THAT** in exercise of the powers conferred under clause (iii), sub-section (3) of Section 7 of the MMRDA Act, 1974 and all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Executive Committee hereby accords its approval to accept the lowest tender of M/s. Amar Construction Corporation @ 26.41% below the cost put to tender amounting to Rs. 2,63,94,788.00 (Rupees Two crores sixty three lakhs ninety four thousand seven hundred eighty eight only) subject to variations due to price variation clause and other contract provision, for the above work with a time limit of 24 calendar months including monsoon, as proposed.

“RESOLVED FURTHER THAT the Metropolitan Commissioner is authorised to issue Letter of Intent, complete other tender formalities without waiting for confirmation of the minutes, and implement the contract as per contract conditions.”

**Item No. 8 : Approval to the tender for the work of Improvement works in Idle parking at Phase-I, Truck Terminal Wadala.**

The Committee approved the proposal contained in the Item Note and passed the following Resolution :-

**RESOLUTION NO. 821 :**

“RESOLVED THAT in exercise of the powers conferred under clause (iii), sub-section (3) of section 7 of MMRDA Act, 1974, and all powers enabling it in this behalf, the Executive Committee, MMRDA hereby accords its approval to accept lowest tender of M/s. Ashwini Construction Co. at (-)27.27% below the cost put to tender amounting to Rs.1,15,97,930/- (Rs.One crore fifteen lakhs ninety seven thousand nine hundred thirty only) subject to variations on account of price variations and other contract provisions for the above with time limit of 12 (Twelve) calendar months including monsoon as proposed.

“RESOLVED FURTHER THAT the Metropolitan Commissioner is authorised to issue Letter of Intent, complete other tender formalities and implement the contract as per contract condition without waiting for confirmation of the minutes and implement the contract as per the contract conditions.”

**Item No. 9 : Quarterly Accounts of the Authority for quarter ending 31<sup>st</sup> December, 2000.**

Quarterly Accounts of the Authority for the quarter ending 31<sup>st</sup> December, 2000 was noted by the Committee.

**Item No. 10 (a) : MUDP – Revolving Fund – Quarterly Management Report – 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2000 to 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2000.**

Quarterly Management Report of the MUDP - Revolving Fund from 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2000 to 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2000 was noted by the Committee.

**Item No. 10 (b) : MUDP – Revolving Fund – Quarterly Management Report – 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2000 to 31<sup>st</sup> December, 2000**

Quarterly Management Report of the MUDP - Revolving Fund from 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2000 to 31<sup>st</sup> December, 2000 was noted by the Committee.

**Table Item 1: Additional deposit of Rs.8.26 lakhs with Govt. of Maharashtra for conversion of INS Vikrant into Maritime Museum.**

The Principal Secretary, (Urban Development Department), initiated the discussion by saying that, the Executive Committee had approved in principle, a term deposit of Rs 6.50 crs with the Govt of Maharashtra., on account conversion of INS Vikrant. Out of this, an amount of Rs. 8,26,000/- was required to be paid to the Executive Engineer (Civil), CWPRS, Pune on account of field and Hydraulic Model Study for grouting of Vikrant. .



The Executive Committee approved the proposal contained in the Item Note and passed the following Resolution:-

**RESOLUTION NO. 822 :**

"RESOLVED THAT the Executive Committee in exercise of its powers under Section 7(3)(v) of the MMRDA Act, 1974 and all other powers enabling it in this behalf, hereby accords its sanction to the payment of Rs.8,26,000/- made to the CWPRS on behalf of Government of Maharashtra which is to be shown as deposit with Government of Maharashtra in the books of accounts of the MMRDA.

"RESOLVED FURTHER THAT this term deposit is placed for the period of one year and will carry 12% interest p.a. along with the other terms and conditions of the MMRDA. The Government of Maharashtra be requested to refund the money along with the interest after a period of one year.

"RESOLVED FURTHER THAT balance term deposit of Rs.96,93,800/- out of total term deposit of Rs.6.50 crores sanctioned by the Executive Committee shall be placed as per directives of the Government from time to time on the same terms and conditions."

**Table Item 2:            The appointment of Architect, Project Design Consultant, Project Supervision Consultant in connection with the construction of 3600 transit tenements at C.S.No. 7 Part.**

During the discussions on the Item, the Chief Secretary enquired whether MMRDA has prepared panel of Architect and Consultant.

The Metropolitan Commissioner clarified that MMRDA has undertaken the construction of transit tenements and permanent housing on such a large scale for the first time. Therefore, no such panel has been prepared.

Thereafter the Committee approved the proposal and accorded post-facto sanctioned and passed the following Resolution :-

**RESOLUTION NO. 823 :**

**“RESOLVED THAT** in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 7 (3) (ii) of the MMRDA Act, 1974, the Executive Committee hereby accords its post facto approval for appointment of

- i) Appointment of STUP Consultants as Design Consultant for a total fee of Rs.6.70 lakhs.
- ii) Appointment of M/s. Deodhar Associates as Architect for a total fee of Rs. 5 lakhs.
- iii) Appointment of M/s. Uttam Engg. Consultants Pvt. Ltd. as Project Supervision Consultant at a total fee of Rs.11.60 lakhs.
- iv) Expenditure towards deposit and scrutiny fees not exceeding Rs.50 lakhs.

**“RESOLVED FURTHER THAT** the Metropolitan Commissioner be and is hereby authorised to take all necessary actions in connection with the construction of transit tenements at Wadala.”

**Table Item 3: A Study for Review and Expansion of Local Area Network of Computers in MMRDA.**

The Chairman suggested that in order to ensure that, the Consultants cover all aspects of the proposed study spelt out in the Terms of Reference, a proper agreement with the Consultant should be executed. Subject to this, the Committee approved assignment of the consultancy to the CMC Ltd. and passed the following Resolution :-

**RESOLUTION NO. 824 :**

“RESOLVED THAT in exercise of the powers conferred under clause (ii) of sub-section 3 of Section 7 of MMRDA Act, 1974, the Executive Committee hereby accords its approval to the proposal of undertaking the study for Review and Expansion of Local Area Network of computers in MMRDA through CMC Ltd. at a cost of Rs.2,65,000/- as proposed.

“RESOLVED FURTHER THAT in exercise of the powers conferred under clause (iii) of sub-section 3 of Section 7 of MMRDA Act, 1974, read with sub-section (5) of Section 7 of the Act, the Executive Committee hereby authorises the Metropolitan Commissioner to take all further actions in this regard to assignment of the study to the CMC Ltd. and its timely completion.”

The Meeting then concluded with the vote of thanks to the Chair.

\*\*\*\*\*